

Original Article

Coping strategies used by healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic in Dubai: A descriptive cross-sectional study

Ahmad Hamdan, Jennifer Eastaugh, Johan Snygg, Jennifer Naidu and Ibtisam Alhaj

Mediclinic Welcare Hospital, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

*Corresponding author: ahmad.hamdan@mediclinic.ae

Abstract

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has affected physical, social, and psychological well-being of all people, especially healthcare professionals (HCP), who are vulnerable to work-related stress. The aim of this study was to explore the effectiveness of coping strategies practiced by HCPs during the COVID-19 pandemic. A cross-sectional study was conducted in Mediclinic Welcare Hospital in Dubai during the pandemic between March 2020 and April 2021. The questionnaire was used to collect demographic data and validated Brief Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced (Brief-COPE) scales instrument was used to measure the coping strategies. A total 112 respondents were included in the final analysis consisting of physicians (11.6 %), nurses (60.7%), other clinical HCPs (24.1%), and non-clinical staff (7.2%). The common and effective coping strategies used by HCPs were within the problem-focused category. The female HCPs used multiple coping strategies to help them to manage their stress during COVID-19 pandemic. The nurses were more likely to report using emotional support as a coping strategy than doctors or other hospital staff members. Among 48.21% of the respondents that venting helped them release their feelings and distracted themselves through watching movies or television, reading, sleeping, or shopping. Moreover, 42.86% respondents found comfort in practicing religion and spiritual beliefs. Interestingly, the use of alcohol or other drugs was used as a coping mechanism during the pandemic, whereas emotional support and actions as well as taking other advice, were common strategies. Based on these data, healthcare institutions should consider a variety of support measures and programs for the mitigation of stress and negative emotional responses among HCPs during any future outbreaks or pandemics, such as providing access to mental health services, regular check-ins with colleagues or supervisors, peer support groups, educational resources on coping strategies, and paid time off for rest and recovery. Counseling and executive support services also could help staff to manage the mental health during future disasters.

Keywords: COVID-19, stress, COPE, coping strategy, HCW, hospital

Introduction



As of December 29, 2022, there were more than 663 million confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases, with more than 6.6 million deaths globally [1]. The disease was declared as pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020 [2]. Governments worldwide imposed measures to limit its spread ranging from social distancing to strict lockdown.

On January 16, 2020, the United Arab Emirates (UAE) reported their first confirmed COVID-19 case and the government took early steps and used the latest technology to contain the spread of the virus. Numerous measures were taken by the UAE government and private healthcare sector entities to support the UAE's population's psychological and mental health needs during the hectic and stressful time [3]. The COVID-19 pandemic greatly strained the healthcare organizations, social systems, and resources worldwide [4]. Besides stretching the capacities of intensive care units (ICUs) to accommodate critically ill patients suffering from the effects of COVID-19, the healthcare professionals (HCPs), who represented the most critical resource for saving lives and limiting the impact of the pandemic were seriously affected [5]. Although various treatments have been proposed for managing critically ill patients COVID -19, scientific evidence and staff knowledge have yet to be conclusive as to the benefits of these treatments in the early phase of the disease, increasing stress and uncertainty for medical staff [6]. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic is not entirely related to health issues but also contains social and psychological effects. WHO estimated that between 80,000 and 180,000 HCPs could have died from COVID-19 between January 2020 to May 2021, forming part of the total of 115,500 deaths; this fact alone would be an additional stressor for any HCP [7].

The pandemic has altered the work habits and practices of HCPs. Everyday activities have changed because of the COVID-19 epidemic, creating significant obstacles in people's lives. Social distancing and working from home are two measures imposed during the COVID-19 pandemic that have led to changes in workplace. Additionally, the pandemic itself indirectly raised people's feelings of worry, fear, emotional tension, exhaustion, insomnia, unhappiness, and desperation [8]. The psychosocial and economic crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic created extensively negative consequences associated with the need for mental health care. Therefore, studies that address psychological support needs and interventions for health professionals are necessary both in the emerging period of the pandemic and in the post-pandemic period [9-12]. High mortality rate and rapid spread of the virus put the medical staff on the ground under enormous stress [13]. HCPs facing a life-threatening illness may develop vicarious traumatization (or secondary traumatic stress), which refers to intrusion, avoidance, and arousal resulting from indirect exposure to traumatic events experienced by traumatized patients. Previous studies have examined the stress levels of HCP's caring for patients with COVID-19 using a cross-sectional design [14-15]. These studies have identified factors that influence and increase the psychological distress of HCPs, including an increasing number of COVID-19 patients, increased workload, inadequate workforce, limited supply of personal protective equipment (PPE), the rapid spread of COVID-19, lack of specific treatment medications, and lack of supports [14-15].

Coping behaviors refers to a person's response to stressors, where previous study have shown that HCPs often engaged in coping behaviors when caring for seriously ill COVID-19 patients, such as taking additional preventive measures, actively learning about COVID-19, adjusting their mental perspective, actively engaging with the COVID-19 epidemic, seeking family support, sharing jokes with colleagues, and engaging more in humor and friendship [16]. Through these behaviors, the HCPs attempt to motivate themselves to face the pandemic positively while reducing their stress [16]. The coping strategies commonly used by employees include reading about prevention methods, disseminating information about COVID-19, and following the appropriate PPE protocols [17]. Some employees also practice listening to motivational talks to cultivate a positive perspective in dealing with the pandemic [17]. A previous study have shown that coping behaviors correlate with psychological well-being and that poor psychological wellbeing is usually associated with coping behaviors such as self-distraction, behavioral withdrawal, denial, and venting [18]. In addition, factors such as family support, family function, psychological status, and resilience do affect coping behavior [19]. Actions are needed to mitigate the impacts of COVID-19 on mental health by protecting and promoting the psychological wellbeing of HCPs during and after the outbreak [20-21].

The aim of this study was to identify the strain and coping efforts among HCPs during the COVID-19 pandemic. The data will beneficial to assist the hospital leadership in planning and allocating various types of resources that are important to the healthcare staff to ensure long-term sustainability, optimal performance, and worker well-being during times of crisis.

Methods

Study design

A cross-sectional study was conducted using online questionnaire hosted by Google forms among HCPs at Mediclinic Welcare Hospital (MWEL), Dubai, United Arab Emirates. A total 112 HCPs responded to the survey, including doctors, nurses, and other HCPs between March 2020 and April 2021. The HCPs were questioned on their experiences concerning works and personal stressors, psychological stress, and the coping strategies. The link of the online survey was distributed via email, and participation was voluntary and anonymous. A participant's information sheet and consent form were attached before the first page of the online survey. Participants were asked to read the information sheet, which detailed the study's aim and details surrounding confidentiality and voluntary participation.

Study measures

Data was collected using a validated and structured questionnaire, including demographic data and the Brief Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced (Brief-COPE) questionnaire. Demographic data collected included age group, gender, profession, unit (work area), and ethnicity. The Brief-COPE questionnaire is an abridged version of the COPE questionnaire. It measures how individuals deal with adversity, such as natural disasters, significant injury, and cancer diagnosis. The Brief-COPE questionnaire focuses on how people use different coping mechanisms, effective and ineffective, to cope with stressful life events.

The scores can determine how someone respond to a severe event and are categorized on the following three subscales: (1) Problem-focused coping (planning, active coping, and instrumental support); (2) Emotion-focused coping (acceptance, emotional support, religion, positive reframing, and humor); and (3) Avoidance coping (self-distraction, venting, self-blame, behavioral disengagement, denial, and substance use).

Brief-COPE consists of 28 items that measure 14 factors of two items each factor, corresponding to a scale ranging from 1 (never) to 4 (very frequent). The result was obtained by adding the item scores for each subscale; the higher the score, the greater the use of a given coping strategy. The Brief-COPE questionnaire was utilized as it is a shortened version of the original 60-item COPE scale to ensure high participation rates and minimize interference with professional duties. The Brief-COPE inventory is a validated tool that has been widely used for measuring coping strategies [22].

Data analysis

Completed questionnaires were extracted from Google Forms onto an Excel spreadsheet. The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Version 22.0. Quantitative analysis was used, including the mean, standard deviation (SD), and percentage. Data were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and as numbers and percentages for categorical variables. The p<0.05 was considered significant for all statistical analyses. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for all nominal variables. Mean coping strategies were compared for gender and profession.

Results

Demographic characteristics

The first part of the questionnaire consisted of six demographic questions to understand better our respondents for this study (**Table 1**). A total of participants (n=112) responded to the questionnaire. The mean age of the medical staff was 41.25 years old, with a standard deviation of 8.7 years old. This suggests that most staff members were in their late thirties to early forties. Of the 112 medical staff members, 81.3% were female and 18.7% – male. This indicates that the medical field was still predominantly female. Most medical staff were nurses (60.7%) followed by other clinical staff (24.1%), and lastly doctors (11.6%). Only 3.6% were non-clinical staff, including administrative roles. Most medical staff were Asian, accounting for 83% of the total. Arab staff

members made up 13.4%, and Westerners – only 2.7%. This suggests that the healthcare industry in this location was culturally diverse but with a strong Asian representation. In conclusion, the data provides insight into the demographics of medical staff in this location, revealing a femaledominated workforce with a majority of Asian ethnic backgrounds, mainly comprising nurses and other clinical staff.

Characteristic n (%) Age (years old), mean (standard deviation) 41.25 (8.7) Gender Female 91(81.3%) Male 21 (18.7%) Profession Nurse 68 (60.7%) Doctor 13(11.6%)Other clinical 27 (24.1%) Admin staff 4(3.6%)Non-clinical Staff 4 (3.6%) Ethnicity Arab 15 (13.4%) Asian 93 (83%) Westerner 3 (2.7%)

Table 1	. Demographic	c characteristics of the medical staff recruited in this study $(n=112)$	
~1			

Perceived stress and coping strategies

The second part of the Brief-COPE questionnaire analysis showed good internal consistency for all three coping categories: 'problem-focused', 'emotion-focused', and 'avoidance' (**Table 2**). The table provides data on coping strategies, their average scores, and clinical percentiles. The average score for problem-focused coping is 2.98, suggesting that individuals used this coping mechanism moderately. The clinical percentile of 66 indicates that this coping strategy was commonly used among clinical populations. The average score for emotion-focused coping is 2.44, indicating that individuals tended to use this coping mechanism to a lesser extent. The clinical percentile of 33 suggests that this coping strategy was less commonly used in clinical populations than that of problem-focused coping. The average score for avoidant coping is 1.84, indicating that individuals used this coping mechanism to a lesser extent with the clinical percentile of 25.

ruble 2. The uvueluge score for each coping categories of brief cor is questionnaire (n=112)							
Coping categories	Average score	Clinical percentile					
Problem-focused coping	2.98	66					
Emotional-focused coping	2.44	33					
Avoidant coping	1.84	25					

Table 2. The avaerage score for each coping categories of Brief-COPE questionnaire (n-112)

The problem-focused coping mechanisms and their average scores and clinical percentiles are presented in **Table 3**. The average scores for each domain are as follows: 'Active Coping' (3.2 or individuals use this coping mechanism moderately); 'Use of Informational Support' (2.64 or moderate); 'Positive Reframing' (3.06 or moderate); and 'Planning' (3.0 or moderate). The data suggest that both clinical and general populations commonly used problem-focused coping mechanisms such as active coping and planning with clinical percentiles of 50 and 48, respectively. While still moderately used, informational support and positive reframing, both having clinical percentiles of 42, were more commonly used among clinical populations.

Table 3. The average score of problem focused coping - (items 2, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 23, 25)	Table 3.	. The averag	ge score of pr	oblem :	focused	copir	ng - ((Items 2, 7	7 , 10, 1	12, 14, 17,	23, 25)
---	----------	--------------	----------------	---------	---------	-------	--------	-------------	------------------	-------------	---------

Problem focused coping	Average score	Clinical percentile
Active coping (items 2 and 7)	3.2	50
Use of informational support (items 10 and 23)	2.64	42
Positive reframing (items 12 and 17)	3.06	42
Planning (items 14 and 25)	3.0	48

Data on emotionally focused coping mechanisms and their average scores and clinical percentiles are presented in **Table 4**. The individual scores on different subscales of coping strategies are as follows: 'Emotional support' (2.67), 'Venting' (2.16), 'Humor' (1.78), 'Acceptance' (3.33), 'Self-blame' (1.5), and 'Religion' (3.18). The clinical percentile indicates how the individual's scores compare to those of individuals in a clinical sample. The data suggest that emotional support and venting coping mechanisms are more commonly used among clinical populations, while humor is less frequently used. Both clinical and general populations commonly use acceptance and religious coping mechanisms. The use of self-blame coping mechanisms is less frequent among the general population.

Data on avoidant coping mechanisms and their average scores and clinical percentiles are presented in **Table 5**. The individual scores on different subscales of coping strategies are as follows: 'Self-distraction' (2.66), 'Substance use' (1.09), 'Denial' (1.77), and 'Behavioral disengagement' (1.84). The clinical percentile indicates how the individual's scores compare to those of individuals in a clinical sample. The data suggests that individuals used avoidant coping mechanisms to a lesser extent, with self-distraction being the most commonly used mechanism. Substance use was less commonly used among individuals, while denial and behavioral disengagement were used equally among clinical and general populations.

Table 4. Emotional focused coping - (Items 5, 9, 13, 15, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28)

Emotional-focused Coping	Average score	Clinical percentile
Emotional support (items 5 and 15)	2.67	40
Venting (items 9 and 21)	2.16	63
Humor (items 18 and 28)	1.78	50
Acceptance (items 20 and 24)	3.33	50
Self-blame (items 13 and 26)	1.5	63
Religion (items 22 and 27)	3.18	52

Table 5. Avoidant coping - (items 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 11, 16, 19)

Emotional-focused coping	Average score	Clinical percentile
Self-distraction (items 1 and 19)	2.66	41
Substance use (items 4 and 11)	1.09	50
Denial (items 3 and 8)	1.77	48
Behavioral disengagement (items 6 and 16)	1.84	50

Coping strategies used by healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic according to gender

The study was targeted to offer an understanding of the coping strategies used by HCPs during the COVID-19 outbreak to reduce stress. The author separated the results by gender (Male and Female) shown in **Table 6** to identify any significant differences between how men and women use particular coping strategies. Higher scores were observed in female staff than in their male counterparts for Question 9 (2.29±1.01 versus 1.52±0.75; p=0.015), Question 19 (2.90±0.91 versus 2.24±0.94; p=0.029), and Question 22 (3.23±0.86 versus 2.67±1.20; p=0.031).

It can be noted that, in general, woman used multiple coping strategies to help them manage their stress during COVID-19, much more so than their male counterparts. The commonly reported coping strategy was looking for something good in what is happening and high levels of engagement in praying or meditating as a coping strategy. The least commonly reported coping strategy was using alcohol or other drugs to make them feel better (93.75%). In addition, for Question 26, which asks about blaming oneself for things that happened, the majority of participants (64.29%) reported that they have not been doing this at all, while only a small number of participants (1.79%) reported that they have been doing this a lot. However, it should be noted that the sample size for males was relatively small (n=21) compared to females (n=91), which may limit the statistical power to detect meaningful differences and may limit the capability to generalize the results to the larger population.

pandemic according	to gender (n=11	2)		T + 1 (0/)		
Question	Condition/	Group, n (%)	Malo	Total n (%)	χ2	р
1 I've been turning	Never	relliale		1=(10.0)	= 00	0.06
to work or other	Never Not froquent	11(12.1)	4(19.0)	15(13.3)	7.39	0.00
activities to take my	Frequent	25(2/5) 28(417)	11 (52.4) 5 (22.8)	30 (32.1) 49 (98 9)		
mind off things.	Very frequent	30(41.7) 17(187)	3(23.0) 1(4.8)	43 (30-3)		
	Mean+SD	2 67+0.0	214+07	2 57+0.0		
2 I've been	Never	7(77)	2(05)	0(81)	6.42	0.003
concentrating my	Not frequent	8(8.7)	6(28.5)	14(12.5)		010 95
efforts on doing	Frequent	34 (37.3)	6 (28.5)	40 (35.7)		
something about the	Very frequent	42 (46.1)	7(33.3)	49 (43.7)		
situation I'm in.	Mean±SD	3.22±0.90	2.86±1.01	3.15±0.93		
3. I've been saying to	Never	38 (41.7)	12 (57.1)	50 (44.6)	2.06	0.560
myself "this isn't	Not frequent	29 (31.9)	6 (28.6)	35 (31.2)		
real"	Frequent	18 (19.8)	2 (9.5)	20 (17.9)		
	Very frequent	6 (6.6)	1(4.8)	7(6.2)		
	Mean±SD	1.91±0.94	1.62±0.86	1.86±0.93		
4. I've been using	Never Not from and	87 (95.6)	18(85.7)	105(93.7)	3.16	0.367
alconol or other	Not irequent	2(2.2)	2(9.5)	4 (3.5)		
myself feel better	Vory froquent	0	(47)	0		
mysen leel better	Mean+SD	2 (2.2) 1 00+0 46	1(4./) 124+0.70	3(2.0) 1 12+0 52		
5 I've been getting	Never	12 (12 2)	5(238)	17(15.0)	5 81	0 121
emotional support	Not frequent	27(20.7)	8(38.1)	35(31.2)	0.01	0.121
from others.	Frequent	34 (37.3)	8 (38.1)	42 (37.5)		
	Very frequent	18 (19.8)	0	18 (16.1)		
	Mean±SD	2.64±0.95	2.14±0.79	2.54±0.94		
6. I've been giving	Never	47 (51.6)	10 (47.6)	57 (50.9)	2.43	0.487
up trying to deal	Not frequent	21 (23.1)	8 (38.1)	29 (25.9)		
with it.	Frequent	16 (17.6)	2 (9.5)	18 (16.1)		
	Very frequent	7(7.7)	1(4.8)	8 (7.1)		
	Mean±SD	1.81±0.99	1.71±0.84	1.79±0.56		
7. I've been taking	Never	8 (8.8)	4 (19.0)	12(10.7)	4.15	0.245
action to try to make	Not frequent	10(10.9)	0	10(8.9)		
the situation better.	Vorufroquent	22(24.1)	0(28.5)	28(25)		
	Mean±SD	3.27±0.98	3.14 ± 1.15	3.25±1.00		
8 I've been refusing	Never	12 (16 1)	13 (61 0)	55 (40 1)	2 27	0.518
to believe that it has	Not frequent	32 (35 1)	4(100)	36(321)	2.2/	0.010
happened.	Frequent	12(13.1)	3(14.2)	15(13.3)		
	Very frequent	5(5.4)	1(4.7)	6 (5.3)		
	Mean±SD	1.78±0.88	1.62 ± 0.92	1.75±0.88		
9. I've been saving	Never	25(27.4)	13 (61.9)	38 (33.9)	10.4	0.015*
things to let my	Not frequent	27(29.6)	5 (23.8)	32 (28.5)	4	0
unpleasant feelings	Frequent	27(29.6)	3(14.2)	30 (26.7)		
escape.	Very frequent	12 (13.1)	0	12 (10.7)		
	Mean±SD	2.29±1.01	1.52 ± 0.75	2.14±1.01		
10. I've been getting	Never	10 (10.9)	2 (9.5)	12(10.7)	3.91	0.271
help and advice from	Not frequent	28 (30.7)	11 (52.3)	39 (34.8)		
other people.	Frequent	39 (42.8)	5(23.8)	44 (39.2)		
	Very frequent	14 (15.3)	3(14.2)	1/(15.1)		
11 I've been using	Never	2.03 ± 0.00 87(0-6)	2.43 ± 0.07	2.59 ± 0.00	1 76	0.621
alcohol or other	Not frequent	2(22)	2(05)	5(44)	1./0	0.021
drugs to help me get	Frequent	0	0	0		
through it.	Verv frequent	1(1.1)	0	1(0.8)		
	Mean±SD	1.07±0.36	1.09±0.30	1.07±0.35		
12. I've been trying	Never	8 (8.7)	3 (14.2)	11 (9.8)	2.71	0.439
to see it in a	Not frequent	14 (15.3)	4 (19.0)	18 (16.0)		
different light, to	Frequent	35 (38.4)	10 (47.6)	45 (40.1)		
make it seem more	Very frequent	34 (37.3)	4 (19.0)	38 (33.9)		
positive.	Mean±SD	3.04±0.94	2.71±0.96	2.98±0.95		
13. I've been	Never	56 (61.5)	14 (66.6)	70 (62.5)	1.52	0.676
criticizing myself.	Not frequent	25 (27.4)	4 (19.0)	29 (25.8)		
	Frequent	8 (8.7)	3 (14.2)	11 (9.8)		
	Very frequent	2 (2.2)	0	2 (1.7)		
	Mean±SD	1.52±0.75	1.48±0.75	1.51±0.75		

Table 6. Coping Strategies used by healthcare professionals to reduce stress during COVID-19 pandemic according to gender (n=112)

Hamdan et al. Narra X 2023; 1 (1): e71 - http://doi.org/10.52225/narrax.v1i1.71

Orrestian	Condition/	Group, n (%)	L	Total n (%)	χ2	р
Question	response	Female	Male		7.	1
14. I've been trying	Never	8 (8.7)	1 (4.7)	9(8.0)	1.15	0.763
to come up with a	Not frequent	15(16.4)	2(9.5)	17(15.1)		
to do	Verv frequent	30 (41.7)	10 (4/.0) 8 (28 1)	40 (42.0) 38 (33.0)		
10 40.	Mean±SD	2.99 ± 0.92	3.19±0.81	3.03±0.90		
15. I've been getting	Never	9 (9.8)	0	9(8.0)	5.74	0.125
comfort and	Not frequent	19 (20.8)	9 (42.8)	28 (25.0)		
understanding from	Frequent	42 (46.1)	8 (38.1)	50 (44.6)		
someone.	Very frequent	21 (23.0)	4(19.0)	25 (22.3)		
16 I've been giving	Never	2.02 ± 0.90 A3(A7.2)	$2./0\pm0.//$ 12(571)	2.01 ± 0.07 55(401)	1/13	0.607
up the attempt to	Not frequent	21(23.0)	5(23.8)	26 (23.2)	1,40	0.09/
cope.	Frequent	15 (16.4)	3(14.2)	18 (16.0)		
	Very frequent	12 (13.1)	1 (4.7)	13 (11.6)		
t= Thus have looking	Mean±SD	1.96±1.08	1.67 ± 0.91	1.90 ± 1.06	0.40	0.000
for something good	Not frequent	4(4.4)	2(9.5)	0(5.3)	3.43	0.329
in what is	Frequent	39 (42.8)	5(23.8)	44(39.2)		
happening.	Very frequent	38 (41.7)	10 (47.6)	48 (42.8)		
	Mean±SD	3.22±0.81	3.10±1.04	3.20±0.86		
18. I've been making	Never	36 (39.5)	8 (38.1)	44 (39.2)	0.26	0.967
Jokes about it.	Not irequent	30 (32.9)	8 (38.1)	38 (33.9)		
	Very frequent	6(6.5)	1(4.7)	7(6.2)		
	Mean±SD	1.94±0.94	1.90±0.89	1.94±0.92		
19. I've been doing	Never	8 (8.7)	5 (23.8)	13 (11.6)	9.04	0.029
something to think	Not frequent	18(10.7)	8 (28 1)	26(222)		*
about it less, such as	Frequent	40 (43.9)	6(28.5)	46 (41.0)		
watching TV	Very frequent	25 (27.4)	2 (9.5)	27(24.1)		
reading,	Mean±SD	2.90±0.91	2.24±0.94	2.78±0.95		
daydreaming,						
sleeping, or						
shopping.						
20 I've been	Never	3 (3 3)	0	3(26)	2 72	0.436
accepting the reality	Not frequent	11 (12.0)	1(4.7)	12(10.7)	,_	01-100
of the fact that it has	Frequent	36 (39.5)	7(33.3)	43 (38.3)		
happened.	Very frequent	41 (45.0)	13 (61.9)	54 (48.2)		
	Mean±SD	3.26±0.80	3.57±0.60	3.32±0.77		
21. I've been	Never	21 (23.0)	8 (38.1)	29 (25.8)	4.01	0.26
expressing my	Not frequent	30 (32.9)	8 (38.1)	38 (33.9)		
negative reenings.	Very frequent	32 (35.1) 8 (8 7)	3(14.2)	35(31.2)		
	Mean±SD	2.30 ± 0.92	2 (9.5) 1.95±0.97	2.23 ± 0.94		
22. I've been trying	Never	5 (5.4)	5 (23.8)	10 (8.9)	8.86	0.031*
to find comfort in	Not frequent	10 (10.9)	4 (19.0)	14 (12.5)		
my religion or	Frequent	35 (38.4)	5(23.8)	40 (35.7)		
spiritual beliefs.	Mean+SD	41 (45.0)	7(33-3)	48 (42.8)		
23. I've been trving	Never	7(7.6)	1(4.7)	3.12±0.95 8(7.1)	2.32	0.507
to get advice or help	Not frequent	26 (28.5)	9 (42.8)	35 (31.2)	9	- 0 - 7
from other people	Frequent	40 (43.9)	9 (42.8)	49 (43.7)		
about what to do.	Very frequent	18 (19.7)	2 (9.5)	20 (17.8)		
*1 1	Mean±SD	2.76±0.86	2.57±0.75	2.72±0.84		
24. I've been	Never Not froquent	1(1.1)	1 (4.7)	2(1.7)	4.26	0.234
it	Frequent	12(13.1) 33(362)	8(281)	41 (36.6)		
10	Very frequent	45 (49.4)	12 (57.1)	57(50.8)		
	Mean±SD	3.34±0.75	3.48±0.75	3.37±0.75		
25. I've been	Never	4 (4.4)	2 (9.5)	6 (5.3)	1,21	0.749
thinking hard about	Not frequent	24 (26.3)	4(19.0)	28 (25.0)		
what steps to take.	rrequent Very frequent	38 (41.7) 25 (27 4)	9(42.8) 6(28 5)	47 (41.9) 21 (27.6)		
	Mean±SD	-0(-/.4) 2,92±0.85	2,00±0.04	2,92±0.86		
26. I've been	Never	56 (61.5)	16 (76.1)	72 (64.2)	5.58	0.133
blaming myself for	Not frequent	24 (26.3)	1(4.7)	25 (22.3)		
things that	Frequent	10 (10.)	3(14.2)	13 (11.6)		
happened.	Very frequent	1(1.1)	1(4.7)	2(1.7)		
	Mean±SD	1.52±0.74	1.48±0.93	1.51±0.77		

Question	Condition/	Group, n (%)		Total n (%)	χ2	p
Question	response	Female	Male			
27. I've been praying or meditating.	Never Not frequent Frequent Very frequent	3 (3.3) 12 (13.1) 25 (27.4) 51 (56.0)	2 (9.5) 5 (23.8) 5 (23.8) 9 (42.8)	5 (4.4) 17 (15.1) 30 (26.7) 60 (53.5)	3.39	0.335
28. I've been making fun of the situation.	Never Not frequent Frequent Very frequent Mean±SD	3.30±0.84 58 (63.7) 17 (18.6) 13 (14.2) 3 (3.3) 1.57±0.86	3.00±1.05 8 (38.1) 6 (28.5) 5 (23.8) 2 (9.5) 2.05±1.02	3.29±0.39 66 (58.9) 23 (20.5) 18 (16.0) 5 (4.4) 1.66±0.91	5.16	0.160

Score: never=1; not frequent=2; frequent=3; very frequent=4

Coping strategies used by healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic according to a professional group

The author furthermore segregated the study results by professional group to provide insights into the coping strategies used by the different professional groups (**Table 7**). The chi-squared x² test disclosed eight differences in answers from the 28 statistically significant questions. Even though neither nurses nor Doctors claimed to have been using alcohol or other drugs to feel better, 9.68 % of other hospital staff stated that they had been doing this a lot (Mean $1.29\pm$ SD 0.90) (Question 4). All categories of staff felt that they had been getting emotional support from others; however, nurses were more likely to report using this coping strategy than doctors or other hospital staff members (Mean 2.76:±SD 0.88). There was a significant difference in using this coping strategy among the groups (Question 5). 67 % of other professionals stated that they had been taking action to try to make the situation better, with scores (3.55 ± 0.81) . Nurses and other hospital staff report taking action more often than doctors, with a mean response of 3.18 and 3.55, respectively, compared to 2.92 for doctors (Question 7). Asking about saying things to let unpleasant feelings escape, there is a trend toward significance between the groups ($\chi 2 = 7.529$, p = 0.056). Doctor's report using this coping strategy less often than nurses and other hospital staff, with a mean response of 2.08 compared to 2.26 and 1.90, respectively (Question 9). The nursing team showed higher scores (2.69±0.81) regarding getting help and advice from other people compared to the doctor and other hospital staff groups (Question 10). The other hospital staff group showed a higher response score (3.45 ± 0.62) with a strategy about what to do; there were significant differences between the groups. Nurses and other hospital staff reported higher scores than doctors on this item (Question 14). The other hospital staff group also showed a higher response score in the question "I have been looking for something good in what is happening" (3.32±0.75). Nurses and other hospital staff reported higher scores than doctors on this item (Question 17). The survey showed that the nursing group showed more outstanding scores on the diversion strategy described in the question, "I have been doing something to think about it less, such as going to movies, watching TV, reading, daydreaming, sleeping, or shopping" (91 ± 0.93) (Question 19). The nurse group scored higher for trying to find comfort in religion or spiritual beliefs. (3.25± 0.89) (Question 22).

As a general annotation, there were some differences in the use of coping strategies among the different groups of hospital staff members. In addition, there were more nurses than any other category of staff, which is expected, as nurses are the biggest group of HCPs. Strategies such as taking action to improve the situation, trying to see things in a different light, and being more positive scored high by all groups but significantly by the other hospital staff. Other hospital staff members were likelier to report using alcohol or other drugs to make themselves feel better than doctors or nurses. All groups scored high in praying and meditating; however, nurses outscored the other two groups considerably (mean 3.37;±SD 0.86). There are also significant differences between groups in the use of some coping strategies, with doctors reporting less use of the strategy of criticizing themselves, while Nurses reported higher use of the strategy of praying or meditating. Using alcohol or other drugs to cope, the results show that this strategy is not commonly used among the participants. Nurses and other hospital staff tend to take action and seek help from others more often than doctors. All groups report a low frequency of refusing to believe the situation has happened and trying to see it in a more positive light. The data suggest that nurses and other hospital staff may use more active coping strategies (*e.g.*, trying to devise a strategy or looking for something good) than doctors during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, there were no significant differences between the groups on the item regarding self-criticism, and the trends towards significance for giving up the attempt to cope and making jokes about it should be interpreted with caution.

Question	Condition/	$\frac{11}{Croup}$ $n(0)$	<pre>//</pre>		Total n (%)	1/0	n
Question	response	Doctors	$\frac{0}{1}$ Nurses (68)	Others (21)	10(a) 11(%)	χ2	p
	response	(13)	Nulses (00)	Others (31)			
1. I've been	Never	2 (15.3)	9(13.2)	4 (12.9)	15 (13.3)	5.45	0.141
turning to work or	Not frequent	5 (38.4)	19 (27.9)	12 (38.7)	36 (32.1)	0.0	
other activities to	Frequent	2 (15.3)	30 (44.1)	11 (35.4)	43 (38.3)		
take my mind off	Verv frequent	4(30.7)	10 (14.7)	4 (12.9)	18 (16.0)		
things.	Mean±SD	2.61±1.12	2.60±0.90	2.48±0.89	2.57±0.917		
2. I've been	Never	1(7.6)	6(8.8)	2(6.4)	9(8.04)	4.13	0.247
concentrating my	Not frequent	2(15.3)	7(10.2)	5 (16.1)	14 (12.50)	1.0	- 17
efforts on doing	Frequent	5 (38.4)	28(41.1)	7(22.5)	40 (35.71)		
something about	Very frequent	5(38.4)	27(30.7)	17(54.8)	40(43.75)		
the situation I'm	Mean+SD	3.08+0.95	3.12+0.02	3.26+0.06	3.15+0.03		
in	1.104112.02	0.0020190	00	0.2020.90	0.00000000		
3. I've been saving	Never	7(53.8)	30 (44.1)	13 (41.9)	50 (44.64)	3.81	0.282
to myself "this	Not frequent	3(23.0)	21(30.8)	11(35.4)	35 (31.25)	0.01	
isn't real"	Frequent	1(76)	12(101)	6(10.2)	20 (17 86)		
ion trear.	Very frequent	2(15.2)	4(=8)	1(22)	7(625)		
	Mean+SD	1 85+1 1/	187+0.02	184+0.86	1.86 ± 0.02		
4 I've been using	Never	12(02.2)	65 (05 5)	28(002)	105(02.75)	0.76	0.02*
alcohol or other	Not frequent	1(76)	2(4 A)	20(90.3)	103 (93.73)	9./0	0.02
drugs to make	Frequent	1(7.0)	0	0	4(3:37)		
myself feel hetter	Very frequent	0	0	2(0.6)	2 (2 68)		
mysen icei better.	Mean+SD	108+0.28	1.04 ± 0.21	3(9.0) 1 20+0 00	3(2.00) 1 12+0 51		
- I've been getting	Novor	(28.4)	6(8.8)	6(10.2)	1.12 ± 0.01 17(15,18)	16 70	0.001
omotional support	Not froquent	3(30.4)	18 (26.4)	10(19.3)	1/(10.10)	10./9	*
from others	Frequent	4(30.7)	10(20.4)	13(41.9) 11(95.4)	33(31,25)		
nom others.	Vory froquent	1(7.0)	30(44.1) 14(205)	1(33.4)	42(3/.50)		
	Moon+SD	3(23.0)	14 (20.3)	1(3,2)	10(10.07)		
6 I've been giving	Novor	2.15 ± 1.21 8 (61 c)	$2./0\pm0.00$	2.23±0.00	2.54 ± 0.94	0.61	0.006
up trying to doal	Not froquent	0(01.5)	18 (26.4)	10(51.0)	$\frac{5}{(50.90)}$	3.01	0.300
up trying to dear	Froquent	2(15.3)	10(20.4)	9(29.0)	29 (25.09) 18 (16.07)		
with it.	Vory froquent	1(7.0)	13(19.1)	4(12.)	8(714)		
	Moon SD	2 (15.3)	4(5.0)	2(0.4)	1701006		
- I've been telving	Mean±5D	$1.//\pm 1.1/$	1.62 ± 0.94	1.74 ± 0.93	$1./9\pm0.90$	- 96	0.040
7. I ve been taking	Never Not frequent	3(23.0)	7(10.2)	2(0.4)	12(10.71)	7.00	0.049 *
make the situation	Frequent	1(7.0)	9(13.2)	0	10(0.93)		
hotton	Vorus fragment	3(23.0)	1/(25.0)	0 (25.0)	28(25.00)		
better.	Very frequent	0(40.1)	35(51.4)	21(0/./)	02(55.30)		
0 Ibro haar	Mean±SD	2.92 ± 1.20	3.18 ± 1.02	3.55 ± 0.81	3.25 ± 1.00	1.00	0.470
8. I ve been	Never	8(61.5)	32(4/.0)	15 (48.3)	55 (49.1)	4.99	0.1/2
refusing to believe	Not irequent	2(15.3)	23 (33.8)	11 (35.4)	36(32.1)		
that it has	Frequent	1(7.6)	10(14.7)	4(12.)	15(13.3)		
happened.	Very frequent	2(15.3)	3(4.4)	1(3.2)	6 (5.3)		
• The last sector	Mean±SD	$1.7/\pm 1.17$	1.76 ± 0.87	1.71 ± 0.82	1.75 ± 0.88		
9. I ve been saying	Never	0 (40.1)	18 (20.4)	14 (45.1)	38(33.9)	7.52	0.050
things to let my	Not frequent	1(7.6)	22 (32.3)	9(29.0)	32 (28.57)		
unpleasant	Frequent	5(38.4)	20 (29.4)	5 (16.1)	30 (26.79)		
feelings escape.	Very frequent	1(7.6)	8 (11.7)	3 (9.6)	12(10.71)		
	Mean±SD	2.08 ± 1.11	2.26±0.99	1.90 ± 1.01	2.14±1.01		
10. I've been	Never	4 (30.7)	5(7.3)	3 (9.6)	12(10.71)	10.51	0.015
getting help and	Not frequent	4 (30.7)	21(30.8)	14 (45.1)	39 (34.82)		*
advice from other	Frequent	4 (30.7)	32 (47.0)	8 (25.8)	44 (39.29)		
people.	Very frequent	1 (7.6)	10 (14.7)	6 (19.3)	17 (15.18)		
	Mean±SD	2.15±0.99	2.69±0.81	2.54±0.92	2.59±0.87		
11. I've been using	Never	12 (92.3)	64 (94.1)	30 (96.7)	106 (94.64)	4.63	0.201
alcohol or other	Not frequent	1 (7.6)	4 (5.)	0	5 (4.46)		
drugs to help me	Frequent	0	0	0	0		
get through it.	Very frequent	0	0	1 (3.2)	1 (0.89)		
	Mean±SD	1.08±0.28	1.06±0.24	1.10±0.54	1.07±0.35		
12. I've been	Never	3 (23.0)	6 (8.8)	2(6.4)	11 (9.82)	4.86	0.181
trying to see it in a	Not frequent	2 (15.3)	13 (19.1)	3 (9.)	18 (16.07)		
different light, to	Frequent	4 (30.7)	26 (38.2)	15 (48.3)	45 (40.18)		

Table 7. Coping Strategies used by healthcare professionals to reduce stress during COVID-19 pandemic according to profession

Hamdan et al. Narra X 2023; 1 (1): e71 - http://doi.org/10.52225/narrax.v1i1.71

Question	Condition/	Group, n (9	%)		Total n (%)	χ2	р
	response	Doctors	Nurses (68)	Others (31)		χ	r
		(13)					
make it seem	Very frequent	4 (30.7)	23 (33.8)	11 (35.4)	38 (33.93)		
more positive.	Mean±SD	2.69±1.18	2.97±0.95	3.13±0.85	2.98±0.95		
13. I've been	Never	8 (61.5)	44 (64.7)	18 (58.0)	70 (62.50)	2.75	0.430
criticizing myself.	Not frequent	3 (23.0)	17(25.0)	9 (29.0)	29 (25.89)		
	Frequent	2 (15.3)	5 (7.3)	4 (12.9)	11 (9.82)		
	Very frequent	0	2 (2.94)	0	2 (1.79)		
	Mean±SD	1.54±0.78	1.48±0.76	1.55±0.72	1.50 ± 0.75		
14. I've been	Never	3 (23.0)	6(8.8)	0	9 (8.04)	13.24	0.004
trying to come up	Not frequent	3 (23.0)	12 (17.6)	2(6.4)	17(15.18)		*
with a strategy	Frequent	5 (38.4)	30 (44.1)	13 (41.9)	48 (42.86)		
about what to do.	Very frequent	2 (15.3)	20 (29.4)	16 (51.6)	38 (33.93)		
1 - I've heen	Mean±SD	2.46 ± 1.05	2.94 ± 0.91	3.45 ± 0.62	3.03 ± 0.90	4.46	0.015
15. I ve Deell	Not froquent	1(7.0)	7(10.2)	1(3.2)	9(0.04)	4.40	0.215
and	Frequent	2(15.3)	15(22.0)	11(35.4) 14(45.1)	20 (25.00)		
understanding	Very frequent	2 (22 0)	17(25.0)	5(161)	25 (22 22)		
from someone	Mean+SD	2 02+0 86	2 82+0.03	274+077	281+087		
16. I've been	Never	9(69.2)	32 (47.0)	14(45)	55 (49.11)	6.91	0.075
giving up the	Not frequent	2(15.3)	14(20.5)	10 (32.2)	26 (23.21)		0.070
attempt to cope.	Frequent	1(7.6)	11 (16.1)	6(19.3)	18 (17.07)		
1 1	Very frequent	1 (7.6)	11 (16.1)	1(3.2)	13 (11.61)		
	Mean±SD	1.54±0.97	2.01±1.14	1.81±0.87	1.90±1.06		
17. I've been	Never	3 (23.0)	3(4.4)	0	6 (5.36)	11.69	0.008
looking for	Not frequent	1 (7.6)	8 (11.7)	5 (16.1)	14 (12.5)		*
something good in	Frequent	6 (46.1)	27 (39.7)	11 (35.4)	44 (39.29)		
what is	Very frequent	3(23.0)	30 (44.1)	15 (48.3)	48 (42.86)		
happening.	Mean±SD	2.69±1.11	3.23±0.83	3.32 ± 0.75	3.20±0.86		
						,	
18. I've been	Never	6 (46.1)	28 (41.1)	10 (32.2)	44 (39.29)	6.90	0.075
making jokes	Not frequent	4(30.7)	20 (29.4)	14(45.1)	38 (33.93)		
about it.	Frequent	3(23.0)	13(19.1)	7 (22.5)	23(20.54)		
	Moon+SD	17740.89	7 (10.2)		1.04+0.02		
10 I've been doing	Never	4(20.7)	(72)	$1.90\pm0./5$	1.94 ± 0.92 19(11.61)	10.86	0.012
something to	Not frequent	1(76)	17(250)	8(258)	26(23.21)	10.00	*
think about it less.	Frequent	6(46.1)	25(36.7)	15 (48.3)	46 (41.07)		
such as going to	Verv frequent	2(15.3)	21(30.8)	4(12.9)	27(24.11)		
movies, watching	Mean±SD	2.46±1.13	2.91±0.93	2.61±0.88	2.78±0.95		
TV, reading,			, ,,		, ,,		
daydreaming,							
sleeping, or							
shopping.							
20. I've been	Never	1 (7.6)	2 (2.9)	0	3 (2.68)	3.11	0.375
accepting the	Not frequent	1 (7.6)	8 (11.7)	3 (9.6)	12 (10.71)		
reality of the fact	Frequent	6 (46.1)	26 (38.2)	11 (35.4)	43 (38.29)		
that it has	Very frequent	5 (38.4)	32 (47.0)	17 (54.8)	54 (48.21)		
happened.	Mean±SD	3.15 ± 0.90	3.29±0.79	3.45±0.67	3.32±0.77		
of I've been	Novon		1= (00.0)	10 (00 0)	aa(a=9a)	0.00	0.004
21. I ve been	Never Not froquent	4(30.7)	15(22.0)	10(32.2)	29 (25.89)	3.39	0.334
expressing my	Frequent	4(30.7)	22(32.3)	12(30.7)	30 (33.93)		
negative leenings.	Very frequent	4(30.7)	25(30.7)	0(19.3)	33(31,25)		
	Mean+SD	2(7.0)	222 ± 0.02	206+0.06	222 ± 0.04		
22 I've been	Never	0	5(7)	5(161)	10 (8 03)	0.77	0.02*
trying to find	Not frequent	4(30.7)	5(7.3)	5(16.1)	14(12.50)	J•//	0.02
comfort in my	Frequent	5(38.4)	26 (38.2)	9(29.0)	40 (35.71)		
religion or	Very frequent	4 (30.7)	32 (47.0)	12 (38.7)	48 (42.86)		
spiritual beliefs.	Mean±SD	3.0±0.82	3.25±0.89	2.90±1.10	3.12±0.95		
-		0	0 0)	-	0 ,0		
23. I've been	Never	3 (23.0)	4 (5.8)	1 (3.2)	8 (7.14)	6.62	0.084
trying to get	Not frequent	4 (30.7)	22 (32.3)	9 (29.0)	35 (31.25)		
advice or help	Frequent	4 (30.7)	29 (42.6)	16 (51.6)	49 (43.75)		
from other people	Very frequent	2 (15.3)	13 (19.1)	5 (16.1)	20 (17.86)		
about what to do.	Mean±SD	2.38±1.04	2.75±0.83	2.81±0.75	2.72±0.84		
*1							-
24. I've been	Never	0	1(1.47)	1 (3.23)	2(1.79)	1.63	0.65
learning to live	Not frequent	2(15.3)	8(11.7)	2(6.4)	12(10.71)		
with it.	Frequent	5(38.4)	24 (35.2)	12(38.7)	41(36.61)		
	very trequent	6 (46.1)	35(51.4)	16 (51.6)	57(50.89)		
	mean±SD	3.31±0.75	3.37±0.75	3.39±0.76	3.07±0.75		

Question	Condition/	Group, n (%	%)		Total n (%)	χ2	р
	response	Doctors	Nurses (68)	Others (31)	-		
		(13)	(->				
25. I've been	Never	1 (7.6)	4 (5.8)	1 (3.2)	6 (5.3)	3.88	0.27
thinking hard	Not frequent	2 (15.3)	20 (29.4)	6 (19.3)	28 (25.00)		
about what steps	Frequent	5 (38.4)	29 (42.6)	13 (41.9)	47 (41.96)		
to take.	Very frequent	5 (38.4)	15 (22.0)	11 (35.4)	31 (27.68)		
	Mean±SD	3.08±0.95	2.81±0.85	3.10±0.83	2.92±0.86		
26. I've been	Never	12 (92.3)	41 (60.2)	19 (61.2)	72 (64.29)	6.07	0.108
blaming myself for	Not frequent	0	0	7(22.5)	25 (22.32)		
things that	Frequent	1 (7.6)	8 (11.7)	4 (12.9)	13 (11.61)		
happened.	Very frequent	0	1(1.4)	1 (3.2)	2 (1.79)		
**	Mean±SD	1.15±0.55	1.54±0.76	1.58±0.85	1.51±0.77		
27. I've been	Never	0	3(4.4)	2(6.4)	5 (4.46)	5.79	0.122
praving or	Not frequent	4 (30.7)	8(11.7)	5 (16.1)	17(15.18)	0,,,	
meditating.	Frequent	5 (38.4)	18 (26.4)	7(22.5)	30 (26.79)		
0	Verv frequent	4 (30.7)	39 (57.3)	17 (54.8)	60 (53.57)		
	Mean±SD	3.0±0.82	3.37±0.86	3.26±0.96	3.30±0.89		
28. I've been	Never	7 (53.8)	46 (67.6)	13 (41.9)	66 (58.93)	6.30	0.098
making fun of the	Not frequent	3(23.0)	11 (16.1)	9(29.0)	23 (20.54)	0	
situation.	Frequent	2(15.3)	9(13.2)	7(22.5)	18 (16.07)		
	Verv frequent	1(7.6)	2(2.0)	2(6.4)	5 (4.46)		
	Mean+SD	1.77+1.01	1.51+0.84	1.03+0.06	1.66+0.90		
9		//======					

Score: never=1; not frequent=2; frequent=3; very frequent=4

Discussion

This study provided a unique opportunity to examine the coping strategies of healthcare staff during the COVID-19 pandemic at Mediclinic Welcare Hospital in the United Arab Emirates over a period and included both the Delta and Omicron waves. It is well known that infectious disease outbreaks disturb the emotions of HCPs, increase their psychological distress, and weaken their coping behaviors. Herein, the Brief-COPE questionnaire analysis found good internal consistency for all three coping categories: 'Problem-focused', 'Emotion-focused', and 'Avoidance'. The data shows that individuals in clinical populations tended to use problem-focused coping mechanisms more frequently than emotion-focused or avoidant coping mechanisms. The average score for problem-focused coping suggests that it was used to a moderate extent, while the average score for emotion-focused and avoidant coping mechanisms suggests that they are used to a lesser extent. These findings enlighten healthcare professions that problem-focused coping strategies are more effective for people experiencing stress in clinical populations.

Problem-focused coping mechanisms such as active coping and planning were commonly used among clinical and general populations. The use of informational support and positive reframing coping mechanisms was more common among clinical populations. Emotional support and venting coping mechanisms were more commonly used among clinical populations, while humor was less frequently used. Both clinical and general populations commonly used acceptance and religious coping mechanisms. Self-blame coping mechanisms were used less frequently among the general population. Individuals tended to use avoidant coping mechanisms to a lesser extent, with self-distraction being the most common mechanism. Substance use was less commonly used among individuals, while denial and behavioral disengagement were used equally among clinical and general populations.

These findings suggest that interventions aimed at reducing self-distraction coping mechanisms could be beneficial in promoting more effective coping strategies. Additionally, substance use coping mechanisms could be a red flag for clinical populations and warrant closer attention. Interventions emphasizing problem-focused coping mechanisms for both clinical and general populations could promote effective coping strategies.

Moreover, the study aimed to understand the coping strategies used by HCPs during the COVID-19 outbreak to reduce stress. The study found that female staff used multiple coping strategies more than their male counterparts, with the most commonly reported coping strategies being looking for something good in what was happening and high levels of engagement in praying or meditating. The least commonly reported coping strategy was using alcohol or other drugs to make them feel better. The study also found that nurses were more likely to report using emotional support as a coping strategy than doctors or other hospital staff members. Nurses and

other hospital staff also reported higher scores for trying to improve the situation and looking for something good in what is happening.

The result of the present study highlights the importance of using multiple coping strategies to manage stress during the COVID-19 outbreak, including seeking emotional support, taking action, and finding positive aspects in the situation. Healthcare providers must prioritize their mental health and well-being to continue providing effective care during the pandemic. These results are consistent with previously published studies conducted in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic [23].

During pandemics, HCPs may experience increased workloads, long hours, exposure to infectious diseases, ethical dilemmas, and uncertainty, resulting in stress and negative emotional responses. These experiences can have long-lasting effects on HCPs mental health and well-being. Therefore, healthcare institutions must implement support measures and programs addressing HCPs unique needs during pandemics. Support measures and programs can include, but are not limited to, providing HCPs with access to mental health services, regular check-ins with colleagues or supervisors, peer support groups, educational resources on coping strategies, and paid time off for rest and recovery. These measures and programs should be tailored to meet the needs of the specific healthcare institution and the HCP's they serve.

Even as the number of COVID-19 infections decreases and restrictions are relaxed, the pandemic's negative impact on mental health may persist. There is sufficient evidence that adaptive coping strategies are associated with lower rates of anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder. Nevertheless, considering the possibility of future outbreaks, safeguarding HCPs with the tools to deal with them would be of the most significant importance. Building resilience and promoting successful coping strategies could be important goals for stakeholders in developing efficient and effective prevention and intervention programs to protect those at risk and promote their post-traumatic improvement [24-26].

This study has some limitations; aside from being a cross-sectional study in which it is difficult to establish a causal relationship between variables, the study included a relatively small sample size considering the study design, which involved a single institution rather than a single institution multi-center study. Therefore, the generalizability of our results may be limited.

Conclusions

Health professionals working on the front lines of the fight against COVID-19 have experienced many psychological problems, such as stress, anxiety, and depression. These psychological problems should be addressed as they lead to lower work performance, treatment adherence, and job satisfaction. The results also show that coping strategies must be implemented and used to improve the psychological and social well-being of medical personnel facing the pandemic. Continued recognition of medical staff by hospital leadership and government, provision of infection control policies, personal protective equipment, and use of more productive and effective coping strategies should be recognized as factors that encourage medical staff to work during future pandemics.

Our results provide data on the coping strategies practiced by HCPs during the COVID-19 pandemic, which may serve as a basis for future studies to design appropriate interventions and training programs to reduce stress and promote well-being. In addition, further studies are needed to identify other demographic variables, such as years of experience and salary range, and to determine a broad representation of the situation.

Ethics approval

This research undertaken at Mediclinic Welcare Hospital, Dubai, was provided ethical approval through Mediclinic Research and Ethics Committee (MCME.CR.239.MWEL.202) as well as from Dubai Scientific and Research Ethics Committee (DSREC), Dubai Health Authority (DHA) (DSREC-05/2022_03).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the practitioners who participated in the study. Further, we thank Dr. Mohammed Sallam for his valuable contribution during the manuscript preparation.

Conflict of interest

All the authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Funding

This study received no external funding.

Underlying data

All data underlying the results are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

How to cite

Hamdan A, Eastaugh J, Snygg J, *et al.* Coping strategies used by healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic in Dubai: A descriptive cross-sectional study. Narra X 2023; 1 (1): e71 - http://doi.org/10.52225/narrax.v1i1.71.

References

- 1. Worldometer. COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic. Available from: https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus. Accessed: 29 December 2022.
- 2. WHO. Director-general media briefing. Available from: https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-sopening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid. Accessed: 29 December 2022.
- 3. The United Arab Emirates Federal Competitiveness and Statistics Centre (FCSC). Available from: https://fcsc.gov.ae/en-us/Pages/Covid19/Initiatives-to-combat-Covid-19.aspx. Accessed: 29 December 2022.
- 4. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, *et al.* The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. Int J Surg 2020;78:185-193.
- 5. Sim MR. The COVID-19 pandemic: Major risks to healthcare and other professionals on the front line. Occup Environ Med 2020;77:281–282.
- Sallam M and Snygg J. Human albumin solution utilization patterns prior and during COVID-19 pandemic in United Arab Emirates: Time to develop and implement national guidelines on prescription and utilization Narra J 2022;2(2):e82.
- 7. World Health Organization. The impact of COVID-19 on health and care workers: a closer look at deaths. World Health Organization. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/345300. Accessed: 29 December 2022.
- 8. Yang M, He P, Xu X, *et al.* Disrupted rhythms of life, work and entertainment and their associations with psychological impacts under the stress of the COVID-19 pandemic: A survey in 5854 Chinese people with different sociodemographic backgrounds. PLoS ONE 2021;16:e0250770.
- 9. Sallam M, Dababseh D, Yaseen A, *et al.* Conspiracy beliefs are associated with lower knowledge and higher anxiety levels regarding COVID-19 among students at the university of Jordan. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(14):4915.
- 10. Khanna RC, Cicinelli MV, Gilbert SS, *et al.* COVID-19 pandemic: Lessons learned and future directions. Indian J Ophthalmol 2020;68(5):703-710.
- 11. Dullius WR, Scortegagna SA, McCleary L. Coping strategies in health professionals facing COVID-19: Systematic review. Psicologia: Teoria e Prática 2021;23(1):1–20.
- 12. Lee SY, Chiang KJ, Tsai YJ, *et al.* Perceived stress and coping behavior of nurses caring for critical patients with COVID-19 Outbreak in Taiwan: A mixed-methods study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19(7):4258.
- 13. Bany HA, Alharbi L, Alghamdi S, *et al.* Stress Reactions and Coping Strategy among Healthcare Professionals during COVID-19 Outbreak. Psychiatr Danub. 2021;33(Suppl 13):372-378.

- 14. Rose S, Hartnett J, Pillai S. Healthcare worker's emotions, perceived stressors and coping mechanisms during the COVID-19 pandemic. PLoS One 2021;16(7):e0254252.
- 15. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. JAMA Netw Open 2020; 3(3):e203976.
- 16. Zhang Y, Wang C, Pan W, *et al.* Stress, Burnout, and Coping Strategies of Frontline Nurses During the COVID-19 Epidemic in Wuhan and Shanghai, China. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:565520.
- 17. Windarwati HD, Ati NAL, Paraswati MD, *et al.* Stressor, coping mechanism, and motivation among health care workers in dealing with stress due to the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. Asian J Psychiatr 2021;56:102470.
- 18. Gurvich C, Thomas N, Thomas EH, *et al.* Coping styles and mental health in response to societal changes during the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Soc Psychiatry 2021;67(5):540-549.
- 19. Tahara M, Mashizume Y, Takahashi K. Coping Mechanisms: Exploring Strategies Utilized by Japanese Healthcare Workers to Reduce Stress and Improve Mental Health during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;18(1):131.
- 20. Kalaitzaki A, Rovithis M. Secondary traumatic stress and vicarious posttraumatic growth in healthcare workers during the first COVID-19 lockdown in Greece: The role of resilience and coping strategies. Psychiatriki 2021;32(1):19-25.
- 21. Blake H, Bermingham F, Johnson G, *et al.* Mitigating the psychological impact of COVID-19 on healthcare workers: a digital learning package. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17(9):2997.
- 22. NovoPsych. 2022. Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced Inventory (Brief-COPE). Available from: https://novopsych.com.au/assessments/formulation/brief-cope. Accessed: 29 December 2022.
- 23. Pierce M, Hope H, Ford T, *et al.* Mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal probability sample survey of the UK population. Lancet Psychiatry 2020;7(10):883-892.
- 24. Lee RT, Anson CYT, Cheng HY, *et al.* The impact of COVID-19 on the mental-emotional wellbeing of primary healthcare professionals: A descriptive correlational study. Int J Ment Health Promot 2022;25(3):327-342.
- 25. Ifrah NS, Hussein RM, Khan HB, *et al.* Emotional responses and coping strategies of medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Saudi Med J 2022;43(1):61-66.
- 26. Søvold LN, John K, Antonis S, *et al.* Prioritizing the mental health and well-being of healthcare workers: an urgent global public health priority. Front Public Health 2021;9:679397.